APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGE	ROUND INFORMATION
-------------------	-------------------

١.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION (JD): July 30, 2008
----	----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Wilmington District, Reagan Village, LLC / Garry Marritt / Reagan Village
<u>Subdivision</u> , SAW 2008 02223
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Reagan Village, LLC proposed Reagan Village Subdivision site located off of Wesmar Drive, in Winston-Salem, in Forsyth County, North Carolina. The project is located adjacent of a number of
tributary to Muddy Creek.
State:North Carolina County parish borough: Forsyth City: Winston-Salem Center coordinates of site (lat long in degree decimal format): Lat. 36.1749965° N. Long80.3605552° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:
Name of nearest waterbody: Muddy Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Yadkin River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03040101 ☐ Check if map 'diagram of review area and or potential jurisdictional areas is are available upon request. ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different ID form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 30 2008 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review are [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
I. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 300linear feet: 3width (ft) and/or acres.
Wetlands: acres.
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below ² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months)

Potentially jurisdictic	enal waters and or wetlands were assessed within the review area and \mathcal{E} termined to be not jurisdictional.
	,

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1, only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify INW:
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 50 acres Drainage area: 50 acres Average annual rainfall: 30 inches Average annual snowfall: 2 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Fributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary flows to Muddy Creek which flows to Yadkin River (TNW) and the Atlantic Ocean. Tributary stream order, if known: 2.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and crossonal features generally and m the arid West

Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
*	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 5 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type. 6 cover: Cother. Explain: Tributary condition stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: silted bed and eroding banks. Presence of run riffle pool complexes. Explain: degraded by silt.
	Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: 12 months.
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
	Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM6 (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
Ch-	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
Che	emical Characteristics:

Thid

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outerop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: channel had flow in July, but system degraded by surrounding existing development.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

	(iv)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 30 ft.
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
			Habitat for:
		_	☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
			☐ Fish spawn areas. Explain findings:
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		esical Characteristics:
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:
			Wetland size: acres
			Wetland type. Explain: .
			Wetland quality. Explain:
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
			Project wetlands cross of serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
			Flow is: Pick List . Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List
			Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
			Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
			Directly abutting
			Not directly abutting
			☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
			Ecological connection. Explain:
			Separated by berm barrier. Explain: .
		(d)	
			Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
			Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List.
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)		emical Characteristics:
		Cha	tracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics;
			etc.). Explain: .
		ldei	ntify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
			Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
			Vegetation type percent cover. Explain: .
			Habitat for:
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List

) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. Approximately (

	For each wetland, specify the f	ollowing:		
	Directly abuts? (Y N)	Size (in aere-)	Directly abuts? (Y N)	Size (in acres)
	Summarize overall biolog	ical, chemical and physica	al functions being performed:	
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINA	ATION		
	than a speculative or insubstantial effectival and the function of the tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the significant nexus based solely on any systributary and the TNW). Similarly, the significant nexus. Draw connections between the features the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to Does the tributary, in combination we reduce the amount of pollutants or for Does the tributary, in combination we species, such as feeding, nesting, sponsor downstream foodwebs? Does the tributary, in combination we integrity of the TNW?	significant nexus exists if ct on the chemical, physical ut are not limited to the consperformed by the tripecific threshold of distal efact an adjacent wetlands of consider include, for exith its adjacent wetlands awning. Or rearing young with its adjacent wetlands with its adjacent wetlan	The tributary, in combination with and/or biological integrity of yolume, duration, and frequency butary and all its adjacent wetlance (e.g. between a tributary and d lies within or outside of a flood feets on the TNW, as identified it wample: (if any), have the capacity to carry (W?) (if any), provide habitat and lifecy for species that are present in the leading of the capacity to transity), have other relationships to	ith all of its adjacent wetlands, has more far TNW. Considerations when of the flow of water in the tributary and ands. It is not appropriate to determine I its adjacent wetland or between a Iplain is not solely determinative of an the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to cle support functions for fish and other TNW? For nutrients and organic carbon that to the physical, chemical, or biological
	Note: the above list of considerations is	s not inclusive and other	functions observed or known to	occur should be documented below:
	 Significant nexus findings for non of presence or absence of significan 	•	· ·	or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings on III.D:
				ows directly or indirectly into TNWs. mbination with all of its adjacent wetlands.
	3. Significant nexus findings for wet	ands adjacent to an RP	W but that do not directly abut t	he RPW. Explain findings of presence or

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

AP	PLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The tributary is a bed and bank stream channel with scour lines of OHWM. There is sediment sorting with shelving and some pool riffle habitat.

absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): [Xi] Tributary waters: 300 linear feet3width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (cheek all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: aeres.
	Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is
	seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
OR	DLATED INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION REPORTED OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK IL THAT APPLY):10

E.

 $^{^8} See$ Footnote # 3. 9 Fo complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D 6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
	Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
	Other factors. Explain: .
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
	☐ Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
	Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e. presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
	Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	☐ Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
	Lakes ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
	Wetlands; acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	 Maps. plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant consultant: ECS Carolinas, LLP. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant consultant. ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Corps navigable waters' study: .
	☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category. Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

\times	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:Rural Hall,
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey, Citation:
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	State Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):
	or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable supporting case law: .
	Applicable supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: . .